ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 13-___

Direct Testimony of

CAMERON ALDEN

Submitted on Behalf of Aqua Illinois, Inc.

April 30, 2013

Aqua Exhibit 2.0

CHIFF CLERK'S OFFICE

ILLINOIS COMMERCE

Aqua Exhibit 2.0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND | 1 |
|------|-----------------------------|-----|
| II. | PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY | 2 |
| III. | HISTORY OF QIP REPLACEMENT | 3 |
| IV. | FUTURE QIP REPLACEMENT PLAN | . 5 |
| V. | CONCLUSION | . 8 |

| 1 | | ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION |
|----|-----------|---|
| 2 | | DOCKET NO. 13 |
| 3 | | DIRECT TESTIMONY OF |
| 4 | | CAMERON ALDEN |
| 5 | | Submitted on Behalf of |
| 6 | | AQUA ILLINOIS, INC. |
| 7 | I. | INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND |
| 8 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. |
| 9 | A. | L. Cameron Alden Jr., 1000 South Schuyler Avenue, Kankakee, Illinois, 60901. |
| 10 | Q. | By whom are you employed and in what capacity? |
| 11 | A. | I am the Regional Engineer for Aqua Illinois, Inc. ("Aqua" or the "Company"). |
| 12 | Q. | Please state your educational, professional and business background and |
| 13 | experi | ience. |
| 14 | A. | I received a Bachelor of Science degree in civil engineering from Texas A&M in College |
| 15 | Station | n, TX in 1993. I am a registered professional engineer in the state of Illinois. I am a |
| 16 | memb | er of the American Water Works Association and the American Society of Civil |
| 17 | Engine | eers. I have 19 years of experience in the public works, water and wastewater, and |
| 18 | constr | uction industry. From 1994 until December 2004, I worked for the City of Danville, IL |
| 19 | beginn | ning as a resident engineer and culminating as the City Engineer for the last 5 years of my |
| 20 | emplo | yment. My duties ranged from overseeing the planning, design, and construction of |
| 21 | numer | ous road, water, and sewer improvements to oversight and planning for the City's Public |

- Works capital budget and construction. In December of 2004, I was employed by Aqua as the
- 23 Engineer/Distribution manager for the Vermilion Division. In May 2006, I became the VP and
- 24 Division Manager for the Vermilion Division. In September 2006, I was promoted to Regional
- 25 Engineer, which is the position I currently hold.
- 26 Q. What are your responsibilities as Regional Engineer for Aqua?
- 27 A. As Regional Engineer, I am responsible for planning, design, and construction of the
- various water, wastewater, and general facilities for the Company. This work also includes the
- administering of the capital program for the Company, and coordinating the design and
- 30 construction services

31 II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

- 32 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- 33 A. Agua is proposing tariffs that establish riders for the recovery of costs associated with
- 34 investment in Qualifying Infrastructure Plant ("QIP") for its Willowbrook Water, Willowbrook
- and Candlewick Sewer, University Park Water, University Park and Tri-Star Estates Sewer,
- 36 Candlewick and Fairhaven Estates Water, Oak Run Water, and Ellwood Greens Sewer
- 37 divisions. The purpose of my testimony is to provide certain of the information required by
- Part 656.90(b) of the Commission's Rules, 83 Ill. Adm. Code 656.90(b), in connection with
- 39 Aqua's proposal. Specifically, I provide information related to the history of current
- 40 replacement rates of qualifying plant, the history of failure, by location, for the applicable rate
- 20 zones, the reason for each increase in the rate of replacement, Aqua's specific plans for future
- replacements, and explanations for any changes in the expected rates of investment in QIP, as

- required by Rules 656.90(b)(1)-(4) and (6). Aqua witness Paul Hanley (Aqua Ex. 1.0) provides
- information related to the remaining requirements of Rule 656.90(b).

45 III. HISTORY OF OIP REPLACEMENT

- 46 Q. What do Rules 656.90(b)(1) through (3) require?
- 47 A. I am not an attorney. However, I understand Rules 656.90(b)(1) and (2) require that
- 48 Aqua prepare and provide a history of current replacement rates of qualifying plant, as well as
- 49 history of failure, by location, for the qualifying rate zone, and that it provide five years of data
- by year for certain enumerated categories of water and sewer plant. Rule 656.90(b)(3) requires
- 51 Aqua to explain each increase in any rate of replacement.
- 52 Q. Has Aqua analyzed the history of the current replacement rates of the QIP for the
- 53 service divisions at issue?
- 54 A. Yes. Agua Exhibit 2.1 shows the history of current replacement rates for Water Main
- 55 (transmission and distribution) Replacements and Relocations, Service Replacements, Meter
- 56 Replacements and Meter Setting Replacements, and Hydrant Replacements, for the five-year
- 57 period 2008-2012 for Aqua's Willowbrook, University Park, Candlewick and Fairhaven Estates,
- and Oak Run water divisions. Aqua Exhibit 2.1 also shows the history of current replacement
- 59 rates for Collecting Sewers Force, Collecting Mains, and Sewer Services for the five-year period
- 60 2008-2012 for Aqua's Willowbrook and Candlewick, University Park and Tri-Star Estates, and
- 61 Elwood Green sewer divisions. Aqua Exhibit 2.1 also shows a summary of the relevant
- 62 facilities.

- 63 Q. What has been the replacement rate during this period for water main and water
- 64 main relocations?
- 65 A. Outside of a water main relocation in conjunction with IDOT's 394 and Rt 1
- 66 reconstruction, no sizeable water main replacement has occurred in the divisions listed above.
- The result is an average replacement of 240 feet per year, less than 0.1% annually, for the
- 68 systems pertinent to this filing.
- 69 Q. Has Aqua analyzed the water main failures for the divisions requested in this
- 70 docket?
- 71 A. Yes. Aqua Exhibit 2.2 provides the history of failure for each of the water divisions
- 72 listed above, for the 2008-2012 period. The average number of breaks during this time was 19
- 73 breaks per 100 miles. The highest average was in the Fairhaven Estates division at 352 breaks
- 74 per 100 miles. The suggested industry standard performance goal is no more than 15 reported
- breaks and leaks per 100 miles of utility distribution and transmission piping per year. Two
- systems meet this standard goal while three fell considerably short.
- 77 Q. Discuss the replacement of hydrants during the five-year period of 2008-2012.
- 78 A. Replacement of hydrants has been minimal in the divisions in which Aqua proposes the
- 79 QIP rider be implemented. The hydrants are typically replaced due to failure or being damaged
- 80 by third parties.
- 81 Q. Discuss the replacement of services during the five-year period of 2008-2012.
- 82 A. Replacement of services is shown in Aqua Exhibit 2.1. These amounts include partial
- and full replacement of service lines. The majority of the services in the state are copper, but

- 84 other types include plastic, lead, and galvanized steel. The vast majority of new services and
- 85 replacements are copper service lines.
- Q. Discuss the replacement of meters during the five-year period of 2008-2012.
- 87 A. Replacement of meters is shown in Aqua Exhibit 2.1.
- 88 Q. Discuss the replacement of gravity sewers during the five-year period of 2008-2012.
- 89 A. Replacement of sewers is shown in Aqua Exhibit 2.1. Outside of one stretch of gravity
- 90 replacement in the University Park system, no significant length of gravity sewer has been
- 91 replaced in the areas of the proposed QIP rider.
- 92 Q. Discuss the replacement of force mains during the five-year period of 2008-2012.
- 93 A. There has been no replacement of force mains in the past five-year period other than spot
- 94 repairs/replacements.
- 95 Q. Discuss the replacement of sewer services during the five-year period of 2008-2012.
- 96 A. Replacement of services is shown in Aqua Exhibit 2.1. Per approved tariff, Aqua owns
- 97 the service lateral in only the Candlewick division.
- 98 IV. FUTURE OIP REPLACEMENT PLAN
- 99 Q. What do Rules 656.90(b)(4) and (6) require?
- 100 A. Again, I am not an attorney. However, I understand Rule 656.90(b)(4) requires Agua to
- provide its specific plans for future replacements, including a schedule showing the replacement
- projects listed by priority. Rule 656.90(b)(6) requires a discussion of any change in the rates of
- investment in QIP for the forecasted period as compared to the historical period.

104 Q. Has Aqua analyzed its QIP replacement needs?

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

- A. Yes. Descriptions of the QIP replacement projects expected to be placed in service in 2014 are presented in Aqua Exhibit 2.3. The projects are listed in order of priority. In every system except Oak Run, where hydrants will be a major component, the focus of QIP replacements will be collection or distribution mains. The criteria used in the project selection and prioritization included pressure, leaks, fire flow, age, material type, water quality, customer complaints, and any known operational issues.
- 111 Q. What is Aqua's plan to change its proposed replacement schedule for water mains 112 and relocations?
 - A. Aqua intends to increase investment in replacing worn out and deteriorated mains for the reasons discussed by Mr. Hanley (Aqua Ex. 1.0). Over the next five years (2014-2018), Aqua plans to spend approximately \$992,000 to replace various size water mains within this proposed QIP filing. Based on Aqua's experience in its Kankakee divisions, if the QIPS rider is approved, approximately 2,300 feet of water main would be replaced in Willowbrook, approximately 3,850 feet of main would be replaced in University Park, and approximately 4,350 feet of main would be replaced in the Candlewick and Fairhaven rate area. (Given the adequacy of the main in Oak Run, hydrant replacement would be the focus in that area.) The 2 miles of replacement main would equate to approximately 2% of the listed systems.
 - Q. What is the proposed replacement schedule for hydrants?
- 123 A. The majority of hydrants replaced under the QIP rider would be done in conjunction with
 124 main replacements or relocations done as part of the QIP filing, with the exception of Oak Run.
 125 Oak Run has approximately 150 Kennedy brand fire hydrants from the 1980s, and they are

126 reaching the end of their useful life. In Oak Run, Aqua would look to replace one-third (1/3) of 127 these hydrants within the next five years through the OIPS rider. At an estimated cost of \$4,500 128 per hydrant, \$225,000 would be budgeted for hydrant replacements should the OIPS rider be 129 approved. 130 Q. What is the proposed replacement schedule for services? 131 A. The majority of service line replacements done under the OIP rider would be expected to 132 be service renewals in conjunction with mains replaced as part of the QIP filing that year. 133 Routine service line replacements would continue to be performed as needed outside of any 134 OIPS rider filings and paid for out of the service renewal budget. No major change is expected 135 in the rate of replacement. 136 Q. What is the proposed replacement schedule for meters? 137 The continued annual costs for future meter replacements not performed as part of a QIP A. 138 rider filing would be paid for out of the meter replacement budget. No major change is expected 139 in the rate of replacement. 140 What is the proposed replacement schedule for gravity sewer collecting mains? Q. 141 A. The planned level of main replacement is higher than previous years, and is consistent with Aqua's planned effort to reduce inflow and infiltration (I&I) and to reduce the potential for 142 143 back-ups and overflows, thus upgrading the level of service and reliability for our customers. 144 What is the proposed replacement schedule for collecting sewers (force mains)? Q. 145 Force main replacements typically are short and in response to a specific pipe failure or A.

leak. These repairs are usually less than 30 feet in any year. No major force main replacements

146

- have been identified for the next five years that are planned to be done as part of QIP. A
 replacement/relocation project is being pursued currently for the Willowbrook division, but it is
 expected to be completed prior to implementation of a QIP rider.
- 150 Q. What is the proposed replacement schedule for sanitary sewer services?
- 151 A. Except for Candlewick, the sanitary sewer service lateral is the property and
 152 responsibility of the property owner. Except for specific pipe failures, there is not a planned
 153 lateral replacement program needed in Candlewick. When a sewer main is being replaced, the
 154 reconnection of the sewer lateral would be budgeted for in the overall sewer main replacement
 155 project. The cost of the lateral would be closed to the appropriate plant account.
- 156 V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
- 157 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 158 A. Yes.

Replacement Quantities

| | | | Water | | | | | Sewe | er | | |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|----------------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Mains | | | | | | Force Main | | | | | |
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Candlewick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | Willowbrook | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Willowbrook | 10 | 900 | 510 | 0 | 10 | Candlewick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oak Run | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | University Pa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fairhaven Estates | N/A | N/A | 0 | 21 | 50 | Tri-Star Esta | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0 |
| University Park | 45 | 35 | 45 | 45 | 30 | Elwood Gree | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Services | | | | | | Collection Mai | ns | | R | Repla | |
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Candlewick | 0 | 13 | 19 | 17 | 11 | Willowbrook | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Willowbrook | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 5 | Candlewick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oak Run | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | University Pa | 0 | 0 | 365 | 0 | Ō |
| Fairhaven Estates | N/A | N/A | 2 | 0 | 2 | Tri-Star Esta | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Ō |
| University Park | 1 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 10 | Elwood Gre€ | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Meters | | | | | | Services | | | | | |
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Candlewick | 188 | 249 | 294 | 303 | 364 | Willowbrook | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 |
| Willowbrook | 810 | 98 | 15 | 12 | 8 | Candlewick | 0 | Ō | Ō | Ō | Ō |
| Oak Run | 43 | 101 | 148 | 168 | 222 | University Pa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fairhaven Estates | N/A | N/A | 7 | 1 | 0 | Tri-Star Esta | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Ô |
| University Park | 610 | 299 | 189 | 832 | 30 | Elwood Gree | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hydrants | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | | | | | | |
| Candlewick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Willowbrook | 10 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Oak Run | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | | | | | | |
| Fairhaven Estates | N/A | N/A | 0 | 3 | 0 | | | | | | |
| University Park | 10 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | | | | | | |

Water Main Sizes by Division

| | Willowbrook | University Park | Candlewick | Oak Run | Fairhaven | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|----------------|
| 36" | | | | | | _ | |
| 24" | | 2,150 | | | | 2,150 | |
| 20" | | 7,815 | | | | 7,815 | |
| 16" | | 16,975 | | | | 16,975 | |
| 14" | | 685 | | | | 685 | |
| 12" | 30,130 | 57,309 | | Ī | | 87,439 | |
| 10" | | 25,016 | | 35,530 | | 60,546 | |
| 8" | 60,521 | 82,561 | 13,041 | 31,351 | | 187,474 | |
| 6" | 23,496 | 56,158 | 62,261 | 48,904 | 9,133 | 199,952 | |
| 4" | 629 | 8,081 | 31,290 | 57,552 | 1,050 | 98,602 | |
| 3" | | 545 | | | | 545 | |
| 2" | 262 | | 19,100 | | | 19,362 | |
| 1 1/2" & smaller | | | | | | - | |
| | 115,038 | 257,295 | 125,692 | 173,337 | 10,183 | | Totals (feet) |
| | 21.8 | 48.7 | 23.8 | 32.8 | 1.9 | 129.1 | Totals (miles) |
| | Smaller than 6" | | 22.4 | miles | | | |
| | 6" and Greater | | 106.6 | miles | | | |

Sewer Main Sizes by Division

| ze | Material | Willowbrook | WB-Force | University Park | UP-Force | Candlewick | CW-Force | Elwood Green | EG - Force | Tri-Star | TS-Force |
|-----|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|--|------------|----------|--|
| 36" | Concrete | | | 2,106 | | | | | | | |
| 33" | Concrete | | | 4,854 | | | | | | | |
| 30 | Concrete | | | 16,205 | | | | | | | |
| 28" | Steel | | | 3,080 | | | | | | | |
| 27" | Concrete | | | 2,565 | | | | | | | |
| 27" | Transite | | | | 5,312 | | | | | | · |
| 24" | Concrete | | | 8,516 | | | | | | | |
| 21" | Concrete | | | 21,955 | | | | | | | |
| 18" | PVC | | | 2,864 | | | , and | | | | |
| 18" | Transite | | | | 268 | | | | | | |
| 18" | Clay-ASB | | | 5,684 | | | | | | | |
| 18" | Clay | 64 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15" | Clay | 2,333 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15" | Clay-ASB | | | 4,133 | | | | | | | |
| 15" | PVC | | | 1,212 | | | | | | | |
| 14" | PVC | | | | | | 9,151 | | | | |
| 12" | C.I. | | | 5,250 | | | | | | | |
| 12" | Clay | 577 | | ·- | | | | | | | |
| 12" | Transite | | | | 14,299 | | | 12.5 | | | |
| 12" | Clay-ASB | | | 1,272 | | | | _ | | | |
| 12" | PVC | | | 15,578 | | 24 | | | | | |
| 10" | D.I. | | | 60 | | | | | | | |
| 10" | PVC | 2,905 | | 10,868 | 16,709 | 3,589 | | | - | | |
| 10" | AC | | | | | 806 | | | | | |
| 10" | Clay | 563 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10" | Clay-ASB | | | 8,572 | | | | | | | |
| 8" | Clay | 36,483 | | | | | | 1 | | 13,130 | |
| 8" | Clay-ABS-PVC | | | 134,100 | | | | | | | |
| 8" | Armco Truss | 3,345 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| 8" | AC | | | | | 820 | | T | | | |
| 8" | PVC | 40,131 | " | | | 101,265 | | <u> </u> | " | 29,063 | 3,400 |
| 8" | C.I. | 146 | | 1,266 | | | | 1 | | | |
| 6" | C.I. | | | 3,692 | | | | | | | T |
| 6" | D.I. | | 13,396 | | | | | <u> </u> | | l | † |
| 6" | AC | <u> </u> | | | 1 | 273 | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| 6" | PVC | 4,165 | | | 9,322 | 242 | | | - | | † |
| 4" | PVC | | 2,626 | | 1,269 | | 1 | | - | | $\overline{}$ |
| 4" | C.I. | | | 5,096 | 2,500 | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| 4" | AC | | | | -,550 | 1,057 | - | | | | |

ICC Docket 13-0388 Exhibit Qqua Exhibit 2.1

| _ | | | | | | Page 4 of 5 |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|--------|-------------|
| 2" PVC | | 2,421 | | | | 2,421 |
| varies AC | | | 8,8 | 375 | | 8,875 |
| varies Clay-Truss-PVC | | | | 18,350 | | 18,350 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | | | | - |
| Gravity Totals (feet) | 90,712 | 258,928 | 108,076 | 18,350 | 42,193 | |
| Gravity Totals (miles | 17.2 | 49.0 | 20.5 | 3.5 | 8.0 | 98.2 |

| Services | Willowbrook | University Park | Candlewick | Oak Run | Fairhaven |
|------------|--|-----------------|------------|---------|-----------|
| 1/2 inch | | 1 | | | |
| 5/8 inch | | | | | |
| 3/4 inch | 815 | 1402 | 645 | | |
| 1 inch | 211 | 975 | 1202 | 701 | 88 |
| 1 1/2 inch | 18 | 120 | | 7 | |
| 2 inch | 2 | 51 | 3 | 6 | |
| 1 1/4 inch | The state of the s | | | | |
| 2 1/4 inch | | | | | |
| 3 inch | | 3 | | | |
| 4 inch | | 12 | | 2 | |
| 6 inch | | 4 | | | |
| 8 inch | | 3 | | | |
| 10 inch | | <u> </u> | | | |
| 12 inch | | 1 | | | |

| Meters | Willowbrook | University Park | Candlewick | Oak Run | Fairhaven |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|---------|-----------|
| 1/2 inch | | | | | |
| 5/8 inch | 883 | 1767 | 10 | 165 | |
| 3/4 inch | 36 | 138 | 1810 | 544 | _88 |
| 5/8 x 3/4 inch | 0 | | 0 | | |
| 1 inch | 117 | 419 | 12 | 6 | |
| 1 1/2 inch | 1 | 43 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2 inch | 1 | | 1 | L. | |
| 3 inch | 2 | 19 | 1 | | |
| 4 inch | | 10 | | | |
| 6 inch | | 4 | | | |
| 8 inch | 1 | 2 | | | |
| 10 inch | | | | | |

| Hydrants | Willowbrook | University Park | Candlewick | Oak Run | Fairhaven |
|----------|-------------|-----------------|------------|---------|-----------|
| 4" Inlet | | | 7 | 87 | 16 |
| 6" Inlet | 224 | 597 | 31 | 184 | 16 |

Totals

Water Main Statistics - 2008-2012

| | Willowbrook | University Park | Fairhaven Estates * | Oak Run | Candlewick |
|---|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|------------|
| Miles of Main | 21.8 | 48.7 | 1.9 | 32.8 | 23.8 |
| Metered Ratio in 2012 | 87.5% | 85.2% | 58.3% | 82.0% | 84.0% |
| 5 Year break avg per year from | | | | | |
| 2008-2012 | 5.6 | 12 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.4 |
| 5 Year break/100miles/year | | | | | |
| from 2008-2012 | 25.7 | 24.6 | 352.6 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Main replaced (miles total) over | | | 1 | | \ |
| last 5 years | 0.27 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Percent of system replaced since 2008 | 1.2% | 0.1% | 0.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Average Percent of System Replaced per Year (2008-2012) | 0.25% | 0.02% | 6 0.14% | 0.00% | 0.00% |

^{*} Fairhaven - recent acquisition (mid-2010)

Main Breaks by Year

Willowbrook University Park Fairhaven Estates Oak Run Candlewick

| 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 5 |
| 3 | 2 | 16 | 12 | 27 |
| N/A | N/A | 5 | 8 | 7 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Recommendations for the University Park Water

1) Sullivan Street & Sierra Ct – Replace the existing 6-inch main with 8-inch DIP cement lined. This main is only 2700-ft long but has had 22-breaks from 2007 thru August 2012. The most breaks per year occurred in 2011 with 11. This equates to an average of 2,440 breaks per 100-miles for that section of main.

| Main Replacement Cost | \$470,000 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Service Replacement Cost (13%) | \$61,100 |
| Hydrant Replacement Cost (3%) | \$14,100 |
| Total Project Cost | \$545,200 |

2) Farm View Road – Replace the existing 6-inch main with 8-inch DIP cement lined. This main is 2400-ft long but has had 11-breaks from 2007 thru August 2012. The most breaks per year occurred in 2011 with 4. This equates to an average of 880 breaks per 100-miles for that section of main.

| Main Replacement Cost | \$418,000 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Service Replacement Cost (13%) | \$54,340 |
| Hydrant Replacement Cost (3%) | \$12,540 |
| Total Project Cost | \$484,880 |

3) White Oak Lane – Replace the existing 6-inch main. This main is an 1800-ft long loop and has had 13-breaks from 2007 thru August 2012. The most breaks per year occurred in 2008 with 7. This equates to an average of 2,050 breaks per 100-miles for that section of main. The pipe is situated in a tight space apartment building complex where access to the buildings will be required to remain open, thus complicating the project and adding expense. Thus, restoration expenses will be much more expensive. Thus, a replacement cost of \$200/LF is used.

| Main Replacement Cost | \$360,000 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Service Replacement Cost (13%) | \$46,800 |
| Hydrant Replacement Cost (3%) | \$10,800 |
| Total Project Cost | \$417,600 |

Recommended Action for Willowbrook Water

The Willowbrook system is subject to a higher than average number of main breaks. Customer complaints are mainly associated with taste and odor as well as low pressure. Replacement of underperforming, unreliable and undersized mains should be replaced immediately. As such, the following areas in the system would benefit from pipe replacement in the near future:

1) <u>East Cedar Lane</u> – Replace 2,330-LF of 8-inch ductile iron pipe. There have been 3 main breaks over the past three years as well as discolored water complaints on this street. Replacement will improve reliability.

| Total Project Cost | \$469,800 |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| Hydrant Replacement Cost | \$12,1500 |
| Service Replacement Cost | \$52,650 |
| Main Replacement Cost | \$405,000 |

Recommended Action for Oak Run Water

The Oak Run system is one of high reliability and integrity. Customer complaints are few and there are no areas that require improvements of pressure or flow rate. However, the customer meters and fire hydrants at Oak Run are nearing the end of their useful life.

1) There are approximately one-hundred-fifty (150) Kennedy brand fire hydrants from the 1980's that pose reliability issues and are reaching the end of their useful life. It is recommended to replace fifty (50) hydrants at a cost of \$4,500 per replacement. Estimated cost = \$225,000.

Oak Run and Willowbrook Water are part of the Consolidated rate zone with Vermilion and Oak Run.

Recommended Action for Candlewick Water

1) Replacement of underperforming and unreliable mains should be the immediate effort in Candlewick to improve system performance and flow reliability to customers and for fire situations. The pipelines into cul-de-sacs are small 2-inch diameter pipes. Adequate flow through 2-inch main cannot be ensured when demands are high. As such, the following undersized pipe runs in the system would benefit from pipe replacement:

| Street Name | Pipe Length | Estimated Replacement Cost with 6-inch PVC (\$85/LF) |
|---|-------------|--|
| James Circle | 450' | \$38,250 |
| Queens Place | 1000' | \$85,000 |
| Cornwall Circle | 600' | \$51,000 |
| Galahad Court | 700' | \$59,500 |
| Squire Lane | 300' | \$25,500 |
| Prince Court | 300' | \$25,500 |
| London Rd | 600' | \$51,000 |
| | | |
| Main Danlacement Substate | | \$225.750 |
| Main Replacement Sub - total Service Replacement w/main replace | | \$335,750 |
| Hydrant Replacement w/main replace | | \$40,000 \$15,000 |
| Estimated Total | | \$15,000 |

Recommended Action for Fairhaven Water

Main breaks are a significant problem in the system; the 1950's vintage cast iron pipe is unlined and is not up to today's cement-lined ductile iron pipe standards. The pipe is deteriorated such that there is a lot of lost water in the system occurring at the pipe joints. The size of the pipe is also considered undersized by the commonly accepted standard. As such, it is recommended that the entire system (10,183-LF) be replaced with 8-inch cement-lined ductile iron main over several years.

| Fairhaven – Main Replacement Program | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Main Replacement Cost | \$1,020,000 | |
| Service Replacement Cost | \$100,000 | |
| Hydrant Replacement Cost | \$36,000 | |
| Total Project Cost | \$1,156,000 | |

Recommended Action for University Park Area Sewer

It is recommended that the older vitrified clay pipe in the system be systematically replaced.

- 1) Union Street. This 2400-LF gravity pipe is vitrified clay and includes eleven (11) manholes. It has had a high number of manhole overflows due to root infiltration. Replace the pipe with 12" SDR-35 PVC and six (6) of the manholes. Estimated Replacement Cost = \$408,000.
- 2) Pin Oak Replace 2700-LF of 8-inch gravity pipe. The existing pipe is vitrified clay and includes eleven (28) manholes. It has had a high number of manhole overflows due to root infiltration. Replace the pipe with 8" SDR-35 PVC and nine (9) of the manholes. Estimated Replacement Cost = \$405,000.
- 3) Hickok Replace 1500-LF of 12-inch gravity pipe. The existing pipe is 1971 vintage and includes six (6) manholes. It has had manhole overflows in recent years resulting in unreliability. Replace the pipe with 12" SDR-35 PVC and the manholes. Estimated Replacement Cost = \$255,000.

Recommendations for Tri-Star Area Sewer

Inflow and Infiltration in the Tri-Star sewer area is significant. Recommend lining of the remaining unlined clay main (approximately 1,800') and lining or replacing I&I prone manholes. Estimated Project Cost = \$98,000.

Tri-Star and University Park Sewers are in the same rate zone.

Recommended Action for Candlewick Sewer

1) Perform lining or injection grouting to reduce I/I on the Northern part of the Candlewick system. This would consist of approximately 26,000 LF of various sized main and 96 manholes. Allowing for TV investigation, reaming of deposits and trimming of taps prior to grouting an average cost of \$6.45/LF will be used. Thus:

a. Pipeline grouting budget: \$55, 900b. Manhole grouting budget: \$40,000

c. Sewer lining: \$520,000

Recommendations for Willowbrook Sewer

Perform lining in two subdivisions to reduce I&I in the southern part of the system served by the Plum Creek WWTP.

- a) Dixie Dell lining 8,140 feet of 8" sewer. Estimated cost = \$244,000
- b) Calumet Garden lining of 6,940 feet of 8" sewer. Estimated cost = \$208,000

Willowbrook and Candlewick Sewers are in the same rate zone.

ICC Docket 13-0388 Exhibit C Aqua Exhibit 2.3 Page 7 of 7

Recommended Action for Elwood Green Sewer

This system is a relatively new acquisition by Aqua and records do not exist from the previous owner. It is suspected that the collection system was unprofessionally installed using substandard material, pipe bedding practices, and/or inspection verification. The system has experienced I&I issues and overflows. Recommend that the entire Elwood Greens system be replaced with PVC pipe. Estimated cost to replace entire system is \$2,400,000.